THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The percentage shares of Central Assistance and States' Own Resources for Madhya Pradesh and for Orissa, Uttar Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh are as under:—

States	Central Assistance	States' Own Resources
Madhya Pradesh	38.8	61.2
UP	59.3	40.7
Orissa	76.9	23.1
Andhra Pradesh	47.7	52.3

Plan outlay for Madhya Pradesh

1301. SHRI BALKAVI BAIRAGI:
SHRI SURESH PACHOURI:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the per capita plan outlay for States for 2001-02;

(b) the reasons for low per capita plan outlay for Madhya Pradesh; and

(c) the manner in which Government propose to increase flow of finances to Madhya Pradesh?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE): (a) The per capita Plan outlay for States for 2001-02 is given in a Statement (*See* below)

(b) Annual Plan Outlays of States are jointly funded by the States (States' Own Resources) and the Central Government (Central Assistance). Central Assistance to the States consists of Normal Central Assistance, Assistance for Externally Aided Projects, Special Central Assistance for specific projects/programmes, Special Plan Assistance and Additional Central Assistance. Population is not the only criterion for allocation of Central Assistance, and the determination of the size of States' Own Resources does not depend on the States' population, but on its financial position. Therefore, for populous States like Madhya

Pradesh, plan outlay expressed in terms of per capita tends to be lower than for other less populous States. It has been noted that divergence in the States' Own Resources almost entirely accounts for differences in Annual Plan Outlays of States. Lower than average share of State's Own Resources in Madhya Pradesh has contributed to overall low per capita plan allocation as compared to other States.

(c) Planning Commission has been impressing upon the States, including Madhya Pradesh, to generate higher levels of own resources, *inter alia* by improving the performance of State level enterprises, increasing tax and non-tax revenue and also by curtailing non-plan expenditure to enable greater flow of finances for increasing the plan outlay in the State Plans

Statement

Per capita plan outlays for States for 2001-02

(Figure in Rs.)

Sl. No.	States/UT's	Annual Plan 2001-02
1	2	3
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1,032
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	6,058
3.	Assam	642
4.	Bihar	319
5.	Chhattisgarh	631
6.	Goa	3,423
7.	Gujarat	1,285
8.	Haryana	860
9.	Himachal Pradesh	2,871
10.	Jammu and Kashmir	2,036
11.	Jharkhand	985
12.	Karnataka	1,499
13.	Kerala	710
14.	Madhya Pradesh	652
15.	Maharashtra	1,120

1	2	3
16.	Manipur	1,476
17.	Meghalaya	2,050
18.	Mizoram	4,955
19.	Nagaland	2,069
20.	Orissa	627
21.	Punjab	1,244
22.	Rajasthan	822
23.	Sikkim	5,560
24.	Tamil Nadu	837
25.	Tripura	1,755
26.	Uttar Pradesh	293
27.	Uttaranchal	1,238
28.	West Bengal	710
	TOTAL (States)	1,032

Note: Census 2001 figures have been used for calculating per capita outlays.

Budget allocation to water resources and telecommunication

1302. DR. ALLADI P. RAJKUMAR:
SHRI K. RAMA MOHANA RAO:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) The details of allocations made for water resources and telecommunications during the Tenth Plan; and

(b) whether Government propose to review and re-allocate more realistic amount to water resources, giving priority to inter linking of rivers to solve water shortage problems of certain States in the South India?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI SAYABRATA MOOKHERJEE): (a) The approved outlay in the Tenth Five Year Plan for water resources and telecommunications are as under:

Telecommunications	Rs. 86,984 crores (of which Rs. 1500 crore is GBS)
Water Resources	Rs. 3,600 crores.

(b) Water being a State subject, the planning, funding, execution, operation and maintenance of irrigation and flood control projects are